

1
2
3
4 GABRIEL PINEIDA,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 CHARLES D. LEE, et al.,
8 Defendants.

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 Case No. 12-cv-01171-JST

7
8
9 **ORDER VACATING MOTION
HEARING**


10 Re: ECF Nos. 118, 131, 134, 136

11
12 Before the Court is Defendants' Motions to Dismiss. ECF No. 118, 131, 134, 136.
13 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds that
14 the matter is suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently
15 scheduled for October 9, 2014, is hereby VACATED.
16

17 However, if any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date
18 of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has
19 been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, and who has not previously presented
20 argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient
21 to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Counsel shall confer with each other, and the
22 party requesting the rescheduling of the hearing shall identify the upcoming available dates on the
23 Court's calendar at which all counsel are available for the hearing.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: October 1, 2014

26
27 
28 JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge